

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 7/17/08

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHRISTOPHER TADDEO,

Plaintiff,

08 Civ. 1561 (SHS)

-against-

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORP. d/b/a
AMTRAK; DMJM HARRIS and
HI-TECH BREAKING INC.,

STIPULATION
AMENDING
JURISDICTIONAL
BASIS

Defendants.


IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto, that there is federal question jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1349 insofar as more than fifty percent (50%) of the capital stock of Amtrak is owned by the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED that this Stipulation may be signed in counterparts, and each counterpart bearing an original signature shall be considered one document with all others bearing original signature.

DATED: July 14, 2008
NASSAU, NEW YORK


Law Offices of Michael Flynn, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff


Colleran, O'Hara & Mills
Attorneys for Defendant DMJM

By: 
Marc T. Wietzke, Esq.

By: *see attached*
John Groarke, Esq.

Landman Corsi Ballaine & Ford
Counsel for Amtrak, Hi Tech Breaking &
Skanska

By: 
Michael Korn, Esq.

The complaint is amended and deemed amended to assert fed. ques. jurisdiction and the answer is deemed amended to admit that allegation.
SO ORDERED 7/17/08

SIDNEY M. STEIN
U.S.D.J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHRISTOPHER TADDEO,

Plaintiff,

08 Civ. 1561 (SHS)

-against-

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORP. d/b/a
AMTRAK; DMJM HARRIS and
HI-TECH BREAKING INC.,

STIPULATION
AMENDING
JURISDICTIONAL
BASIS

Defendants.
-----X

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto, that there is federal question jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1349 insofar as more than fifty percent (50%) of the capital stock of Amtrak is owned by the United States of America.


IT IS FURTHER AGREED that this Stipulation may be signed in counterparts, and each counterpart bearing an original signature shall be considered one document with all others bearing original signature.

DATED: July 14, 2008
NASSAU, NEW YORK

Law Offices of Michael Flynn, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Colleran, O'Hara & Mills
Attorneys for Defendant DMJM

By: _____
Marc T. Wietzke, Esq.

By: 
John Groarke, Esq.

Landman Corsi Ballaine & Ford
Counsel for Amtrak, Hi Tech Breaking &
Skanska

By: _____
Michael Korn, Esq.